Ham-Digital Digest   Wed, 29 Dec 93   Volume 93 : Issue 161

Today's Topics:
                    Communication program for
                  Communication program for packet
                 HELP: NOS with BAYCOM modem ?????
                   MFJ 1814 Multimode Controller
                          Mir QSL Cards
                      So near and yet so far
                 WNOS 4a9 NNTP part doesn't work

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

> I have tried many commercial and shareware! I finally settled on a shareware
> prog. called - "paKet 5.1" out of Australia! Easy to set up and excellent
> documentation especially for beginners!

  Could you post the ftp sites and directories? I briefly looked around
and all I coud find was a paket51.exe somewhere in Germany... I would
have looked around, but it apears to be primetime there and the loads
are abismal...

  Thanks,

EBo --

Date: 28 Dec 93 07:20:41 CST
From: timbuk.cray.com!walter.cray.com!sedist!jwl@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Communication program for packet
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Dec23.184503.3342@uxmail.ust.hk>, ee_hflo@uxmail.ust.hk (Michael
Lo) writes:
|> I am making my first tnc. Please tell me which communication program
|> for packet radio is suitable for beginners.
|>
|> Michael Lo
|>

Well, as the author of SimpTerm, I highly recommend that one.
It is available on simtel and its mirrors and on garbo. It
was designed specifically for amateur use and has a lot of
features for working with most any tnc. It has been released
as free software (since freeware is a trademark, so I understand, I
can't call it that). I highly recommend it to anyone that wants
to talk directly to his/her tnc/tu. A new version is in the works.

Write me for details!

73, de K4GVO, Jim.
--

Jim Lynch, Sales Analyst, Cray Research, Inc. / ARS: K4GVO
Southeast District, Phone: (404) 631-2254, Email: jwl@sedist.cray.com
Suite 270, 200 Westpark Drive, Peachtree City, GA 30269

Date: Tue, 28 Dec 1993 15:19:02 +0000
From: darwin.sura.net!spool.mu.edu!agate!doc.ic.ac.uk!uknet!demon!
g3nrw.demon.co.uk!ian@seismo.css.gov
Subject: HELP: NOS with BAYCOM modem ?????
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

I've been trying to make the following combination work:

    PA0GRI NOS version 2.0m
    Jalocha AX25 packet driver (January 1993)

Basic BAYCOM modem TCM3105 without hardware DCD
    386 20MHz machine
    No drivers or TSRs other than ANSI.SYS and KEYBD
    No memory mapping/EMM or similar.
    No DESQview -- just raw DRDOS 6, loaded low

(Standard BAYCOM 1.50a software works fine with vanilla AX25).
(GRI NOS with a PK88 TNC works fine with all protocols).

Symptoms are that "long" incoming packets (longer than about 100 bytes) are
consistently dropped. I can hear the packets arriving (with the driver
"beep" option enabled), but they don't appear on the trace, and they don't find
their way to the associated session.

The behaviour is quite consistent: I can connect to a station with
"connect", "telnet" or "ftp" without problem, but as soon as the corresponding
server on the target machine sends a "long" message-of-the-day or other
welcoming text, the session freezes.   Comparing the trace against a similar
session with the PK88 confirms that the "long" packet was dropped by the
BAYCOM system.

I have tried all the combinations of the AX25 driver parameters that I think
might make a difference, together with a number of different parameters
in the "attach packet" command in autoexec.nos.

Also tried turning "multitask" and "isat" off. No difference.

Also tried a quick test with JNOS (can't remember the version number), which
exhibits the same problem.

Any ideas?

73
Ian
--
+--------------------------------+--+
Ian Wade	e-mail: ian @ g3nrw.demon.co.uk
7 Daubeney Close, Harlington,	AMPRnet: g3nrw.ampr.org [44.131.5.147]
Dunstable, Beds, LU5 6NF, UK.	AX.25: G3NRW @ GB7BIL.#27.GBR.EU
+--------------------------------+--+

Date: Wed, 29 Dec 1993 07:13:12 GMT
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!newsserver.jvnc.net!
newsserver.technet.sg!ntuix!ntuvax.ntu.ac.sg!asirene@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: MFJ 1814 Multimode Controller
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

Hello,
 Has anyone here used the MFJ1814 Multimode controller? How does it
perform and can it receive PACTOR, Packet etc etc?
Tks,.
73s de 9VG Daniel

Date: 26 Dec 93 13:09:00 GMT
From: library.ucla.edu!news.mic.ucla.edu!ctc.com!pitt.edu!gatech!
howland.reston.ans.net!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!news.columbia.edu!psinntp!psinntp!
iat.holonet.net!cjbbs!gbird@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Mir QSL Cards
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

 > @PID GIGO unreg at cjbbs vsn 0.93w32
 > @From: Angelo_Glorioso_Iii@agwbbs.new-orleans.LA.US
 > (Angelo Glorioso Iii)
 > @Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.packet
 > Subject: MIR QSL
 > Date: 25 Dec 93 19:35:48 CST
 > Organization: The Amiga GateWay BBS * New Orleans, La.

 > Hi All,

 > I finally contacted the MIR space station.. I am
 > looking to QSL the qso..
 > What is the QSL info??

 > Thanks Merry XMas.

Send SASE and return postage to...

Sergej Samburov
Department NPO "Energia"
141070 P.O. BOX 73
Kaliningrad-10,Moscow Area (OBL-142)
Russia

This reference obtained from the 3rd addition on "How to use the Amateur
Radio Satellites" dated 1992 and complied by
Keith Baker (KB1SF) for AMSAT-NA.

73's de George N2PHQ

Date: Mon, 27 Dec 1993 15:30:25 -0700
From: orca.es.com!cnn.sim.es.com!msanders.sim.es.com!user@uunet.uu.net
Subject: So near and yet so far
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

In article <LURU.93Dec27192746@stekt6.oulu.fi>, luru@stekt6.oulu.fi (Ari
Husa) wrote:

> In article <2fdsh9$ljt@news.ysu.edu> ad815@yfn.ysu.edu (John S. Kennedy) writes:
>
> > SHE HAS A BOYFRIEND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> > If there is a God, he is a mean, mean man ...
>
> A man?
>
> No man could be so cruel...
>
> Luru

Could it be said that a packet is a small bag; and that this lady is a
"Packet Lady"? Oh, oh ....what have I started now? Maybe I should erase
this and forget it? But, bandwidth is supposed to fun, is it not? Since I
do packet myself, poke some fun back.

Uncle Milti

--
===

Opinions, thoughts, &cetera are my own (when I can remember them).

"He flies the sky   KB7MSF
Like an Eagle in the eye   UTAH
of a hurricane that's abandoned."

      America

Date: Tue, 28 Dec 1993 18:05:30 GMT
From: newsflash.concordia.ca!CC.UMontreal.CA!IRO.UMontreal.CA!clouso.crim.ca!
hobbit.ireq.hydro.qc.ca!ingenierie.telecom.hydro.qc.ca!boulaisg@uunet.uu.net
Subject: WNOS 4a9 NNTP part doesn't work
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

Hi,

I tried to run WNOS version 4a9 and it seem that the NNTP part doesn't to
run. Because if I try "start nntp" or if I try the command "?", the nntp
command is not there.

I am currently running Nos from WG7B version 107 succesfully (or i think...)

Is it me?????

Thanks for the response.
VE2GYB, Guy Boulais
Iberville, Quebec

Date: 28 Dec 93 13:30:13 EST
From: think.com!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!world!ksr!jfw@ames.arpa
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

References <holland-211293102028@right.dom.uab.edu>,
<CIMA89.3wM@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>, <holland-281293105404@right.dom.uab.edu>
Subject : Re: TNC for CB Frequecies

holland@gasmac.dom.uab.edu (Steve Holland) writes:
>It is a pleasure to have ones ignorance so effectivly cured on the net!
>I had thought these frequencies were sort of lost in the administrative
>shuffel when the CB channels were expanded. Are all the CB freqs that
>look unassigned on the channel allocation table RC frequencies? Isn't
>it a little odd to put RC channels right in the midst of all those
>voice channels, given that adjacent channel interference might really
>mess up someones RC activities?

Once upon a time, adjacent channel interference on CB was primarily caused by
accidentally damaged rigs, rather than by incompetantly "improved" rigs.

Date: 28 Dec 93 16:52:43 GMT
From: ogicse!emory!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!gatech!willis1.cis.uab.edu!
right.dom.uab.edu!user@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

References <756431644snx@skyld.tele.com>,
<holland-211293102028@right.dom.uab.edu>, <CIMA89.3wM@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>
Subject : Re: TNC for CB Frequecies

In article <CIMA89.3wM@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca>, mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca (Mark

Gregory Salyzyn) wrote:
>
> holland@gasmac.dom.uab.edu (Steve Holland) writes:
>
> >In the CB frequency allocation there are some frequencies that
> >are skipped in the channel designations. If you have a synthesized
> >rig you could program the PLL to use one of those frequencies which
> >would put you off the "voice channels".
>
> And smack dab in the middle of some R/C channels, thank you very much ...
>
> It is Class D CB, so I gess they would be shitting in the mouth that feeds them

It is a pleasure to have ones ignorance so effectivly cured on the net!
I had thought these frequencies were sort of lost in the administrative
shuffel when the CB channels were expanded. Are all the CB freqs that
look unassigned on the channel allocation table RC frequencies? Isn't
it a little odd to put RC channels right in the midst of all those
voice channels, given that adjacent channel interference might really
mess up someones RC activities?

Steve Holland

End of Ham-Digital Digest V93 #161
****
****